Abstract — The multicast services are effective solution for content delivery to groups of subscribers. Typical multicast applications include distribution of music, video, news, advertisements, weather information, software and other data. The multicast minimizes the network traffic in comparison to unicast as the content is accessible for all users subscribed for the service simultaneously. The efforts on further evolved Multimedia Broadcast Multicast Service (MBMS) in fifth generation (5G) mobile networks enable more optimal usage of network capacity and better quality of experience for end users. The MBMS requires deployment of additional functions in the core network, which from technical point of view introduces latency. There are use case scenarios where the latency has to be minimized, e.g. for mission critical applications. In this paper, we present an approach to provide MBMS functionality at the network edge using Multi-access Edge Computing (MEC) technology. MEC reduces latency as it distributes the cloud capabilities closer to the place where they are needed. The vicinity to end users enables more efficient usage of network resources and higher data rates in addition to latency reduction. Among the others, the deployment of MBMS at the network edge enables location based content delivery, e.g. broadband multicasting of sport events at stadiums. A new MEC service is proposed, which enables third party applications to manage multicast sessions. Using the service, a MEC application may create a new multicast session, delete an existing multicast session, get information about the multicast session, and manage user participation in a multicast session. A MEC application may subscribe to receive notifications about multicast session events and may be notified when such events occur. The proposed MEC service follows the Representational State Format (REST) architectural style. We present the service data model which describes the data type and the structure of resource, as well as methods supported by the resources. To illustrate the approach feasibility, we model the multicast session state as seen by the network and by MEC application, and prove formally that both models are synchronized, i.e. they expose equivalent behavior. We also discuss service performance in terms of introduced latency. The latency “injected” by the service is evaluated theoretically through signaling for multicast session management. Based on published empirical data for MEC performance we determine the distributions which fit best to these data applied to the proposed MEC service.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Multicast is an efficient technology to deliver the same content to a group of people or devices being served with guaranteed quality of service which addresses the requirements of low energy consumption and costs. Multicast service uses unidirectional point to multi point schemes for transmission of multimedia content (e.g. audio, video, text or picture) and it is accessible only for multicast group members that are subscribed to the service [1]. It appears to be cost effective and high quality mechanism for clients who consume the same data such as software updates, emergency messages or media content [2], [3]. The multicast/broadcast technology is a key component of fifth generation (5G) mobile system for both real-time and non-real-time services. Examples of real-time multicast scenario include television or live contents with large audience delivery, augmented and virtual reality, push-to-talk/video services, and automotive applications including autonomous driving information, infotainment, and safety applications. Example use cases of non-real-time services include software and firmware upgrades, common control messages to large number of devices, disaster and emergency alerts, news etc. [4], [5].

Multicast services will be implemented in 5G in a flexible and dynamic way for content delivery necessary for optimal system performance [6]-[9]. The standardization work on Multicast/Broadcast Multimedia Service (MBMS) is ongoing and the studies are focused at defining of framework between radio access network and core network to support MBMS in different vertical segments such as automotive applications, massive machine type communications and mission critical communications. The 5G Xcast project aims to develop architecture that enables harmonized context delivery between different networks [10]. Multicast service deployment in 5G will follow the 4G Multicast/Broadcast Multimedia Service (MBMS) architecture with enhanced software functions to support service performance characteristics such as speed, latency, and capacity. The flexible reconfigurable architectural design of the 5G network is one of the main technical challenges to
provide reliable communications with guaranteed latency for multimedia multicast services. One of the ways to face this challenge is to distribute core network functions integrated with cloud intelligence at the network edge. Multi-access Edge Computing (MEC), former Mobile Edge Computing, which is regarded as an essential ingredient of 5G networks, aims to provide computing and storage capabilities at the network edge where these capabilities are needed [11], [12]. The MEC vicinity to end users contributes to latency minimization and optimization of network resource usage. MEC use cases include customized local content distribution, location services, video analytics, augmented reality, Internet of Things and data cashing. MEC enables third party applications to benefit from being in close proximity to end users and from tapping into local content and real-time information about radio access network conditions. A multicast service received by the client, involves one or more consecutive multicast sessions e.g. a multimedia streaming or multiple messages transmitted on long term basis such as multicasting of sport results.

In this paper, we propose a service oriented approach to implement multicast service using MEC technology. The deployment of multicast service at the network edge enables location-based content delivery, e.g. broadband multicasting of sport events at stadiums, reliable control message delivery for great number of devices without causing network congestion, and efficient usage of network resources for mission critical applications with very stringent requirements on latency, throughput and reliability.

We explore the MEC capabilities to enable third party applications deployed at the network edge to manage a multicast multimedia sessions, its members and media streams, and to obtain multicast session information.

The rest of the paper presents the proposed approach to opening the multicast session management functions by description of typical use cases, data model and application programming interfaces. In order to depict the approach essence, multicast session state models supported by MEC application and network are provided. The latency injected by the proposed service is evaluated theoretically.

II. SERVICE DEPLOYMENT

The proposed Multicast Session Management Service (MSMS) can be useful in MEC deployment scenarios with distributed core network components. This MEC deployment type suits well for mission critical communications and massive machine type communications where there is no need for communications with operator’s core network entities. It is usually applied for mission critical enterprise zones, public security, emergency services, and industrial control. In this scenario, MEC applications can be hosted with 5G virtualized core network functions (VNF) sharing the same network function virtualization platform [11].

Further, distributed core network functions enable communications with customized quality of service and configurable features e.g. tailored to enterprise requirements. Fig. 1 shows the MEC integration with distributed core functions adopting 5G Xcast approach.

The distributed 5G core network tailored to enterprise requirements may consist of the following functions [14]:
- Authentication Server Functions (AUSF) for authentication of User equipments (UEs) during registration;
- Access and Mobility Management Function (AMF) for registration, access, reachability, connection and mobility management of UEs;
- Session Management Function (SMF) for session establishment, modification and release, and for policies;
- User Plane Function (UPF) for packet routing and forwarding, and policy enforcement;
- Unified Data Management (UDM) for access to subscription data;
- Network Exposure Function (NEF) for exposure of events and capabilities.

The support of multicast service requires new functionalities which may be implemented by one or more separate network functions as to 5G-Xcast project [10]. The functionality of Broadcast Multicast Service Center may be split into a control plane part (XCF) and a user plane part (XUF). The MEC platform, which provides MEC services exposed to MEC applications, takes the place of application function.

III. OVERAL SERVICE DESCRIPTION

The MSMS allows MEC applications to manage initiation/modification/termination of multicast sessions, to control user participation in sessions, and to retrieve information about multicast sessions. The interaction
between MSMS and MEC applications follows two mechanisms:

- "Request-Response" mechanism, where the MSMS is requested by MEC application to provide a certain service, e.g. to perform an action or to provide information;
- "Subscribe-Notify" mechanism, where the MEC application subscribes to MSMS to receive notifications of interest.

A MEC application may issue the following request types:

- Requests to create/terminate a multicast session;
- Requests to retrieve information about a multicast session;
- Requests to users to join to/leave a multicast session;
- Requests to retrieve information about multicast session participants.

The MSMS supports the following subscription types:

- Subscriptions for notifications about UE registrations with multicast subscription;
- Subscriptions for notifications about joining/leaving a multicast session.

The service design follows Representational State Transfer (REST) architectural style for distributed applications. REST takes a resource-based approach for interactions where resources represent entities (physical or logical ones).

A. Multicast Session Subscriptions and Notifications

Fig. 2 shows the structure of resources related to different subscription types supported by the MSMS, where {apiRoot}/msms/v1 is the root followed by all resource URIs (Uniform Resource Identifier) of MSMS application programming interfaces (API).

The multicastSubscriptions resource is a container for all subscription types related to MSMS. The ueRegistrations resource is used to keep track of active subscriptions to registration events of users subscribed for multicast service. The {ueRegistrationID} resource represents a request from a MEC application to be notified about UE registrations. The {ueJoinActions} and {ueLeaveActions} resources contain all subscriptions for respectively UE joining to and leaving multicast sessions, while {ueJoinActionID} and {ueLeaveActionID} resources represent existing subscriptions of the respective type. Fig.3 illustrates the message flow for subscribing to UE registrations with multicast service subscription.

To receive notifications on registrations of UEs with multicast service subscription, the MEC application sends a POST request with message body containing the ueRegSubscription data structure. The ueRegSubscription data structure defines the URI where the application wants to receive notifications and the filter criteria pointing the multicast service of interest. The MSMS in turn invokes Nnef_EventExposure_Subscribe operation of the NEF to subscribe to receive an event on UE registration [13]. When the subscription is accepted the NEF returns the subscription correlation ID to the MSMS. The MSMS sends “201 Created” with the URI of the created resource and subscribed UE registration type.

The same template of interaction is followed for creation of other type of subscriptions where the data type exchanged represents data structure specific for the respective subscription type. The {ueJoinSubscription} and {ueLeaveSubscription} data structures in the message body of the POST request for creation a subscription for notifications on UE joining to or leaving multicast session events include the session URI and the URIs of UEs that the application wants to monitor.

The existing subscription may be updated e.g. in case of subscription expiry. Fig.4 shows the message flow for subscription updating.

The MEC application sends a PUT message to the resource representing the corresponding subscription with modified data structure. The MSMS answers with “200 OK” message containing the modified data.

The MEC application may terminate the subscription if it is not interested any more in receiving notifications...
about specific events. To do this, the application sends a
DELETE request to the resource representing the
corresponding subscription, the MSMS invokes
Nnef_EventExposure_Unsubscribe service operation of
NEF and responds to the application with 204 “No
Content” (Fig. 5).

Upon occurrence of event of interest, the NEF
performs Nnef_EventExposure_Notify service operation
to report the event to the MSMS [15]. In case of active
subscription, the MSMS sends a POST request to the URI
provided by the MEC application to notify about the
event. The notification contains information about the
event.

Fig. 6 shows the flow of receiving notifications on UE
registering with multicast service subscription. The
ueRegNotification data structure contains the event ID,
the URI of the UE, and timestamp.

The same pattern is applied when the MSMS sends a
notification on UE joining to /leaving an existing
multicast session. The body of the POST request contains
respectively ueJoinNotification data structure or
ueLeaveNotification data structure. These data structures
contain the event ID, the URI of the UE, the session URI,
and timestamp.

Table I summarizes the methods supported by MSMS
subscription resources. In Table I, {SubscriptionType}
may be one of the following: ueRegistrations,
ueJoinActions, or ueLeaveActions, and {Subscription-
ID} may be {regSubscriptionID}, {ueJoinActionID}, or
{ueLeaveActionID}.

B. Multicast Requests

Being notified about UE registration with subscription
for multicast service, the MEC application may invite the
user to join to existing multicast session. For multicast
sessions in specific areas, the MEC application may use
MEC Location service [16] to be notified when the user
enters the area. The multicast sessions and session
members are also represented as resources, and Fig. 7
shows the respective resource.

The multicastSessions resource is a container for all
multicast sessions created by MEC application. The
{multicastSessionID} resource represents an existing
multicast session created by MEC application. The
sessionParticipations resource contains information
about UEs participating in an existing multicast session,
and {sessionParticipationID} represents an UE
participating in the session.

A MEC application can retrieve information for all
multicast sessions, information for an existing multicast
session, information about participants in an existing
multicast session, and information about specific
participant in a multicast session.

Fig. 8 shows the flow for retrieving information about
an existing multicast session. The application sends a
GET request to the resource representing the respective
multicast session. The MSMS responds with “200 OK”
with message body containing the sessionInfo. The
sessionInfo data structure contains the session name, the
minimum bandwidth required for receiving session

Fig. 5. Flow of termination of existing subscription for notifications
about UE registrations with multicast service subscription.
multimedia streams, media types, and the duration of the multicast session.
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**Fig. 8. Flow of retrieving information about existing multicast session**

An example of HTTP request for retrieving information about existing multicast session is as follows:

```
GET /exampleAPI/msms/v1/multicastSessions/multicastSessionID HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/json
Content-type: application/json
```

An example of HTTP response is as follows:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK (sessionInfo)
Host: example.com
Accept: application/json
Content-type: application/json
```

To retrieve information about specific participant in a multicast session, the application sends a GET request to the resource representing the corresponding participant in the multicast session. The MSMS responds with “200 OK” with message body containing the `participantInfo`. The `participantInfo` data structure contains the URI of the UE and its status. The status of participating UE can be one of the following `Joined` (the user is joined), `Attached` (the user is joined to the session), `Declined` (the user rejects to join) and `AskedTo-Leave` (the user is asked but not leaved yet).

A MEC application can request a multicast session creation. To do this, the application sends a POST request to the `multicastSessions` resource with message body containing `sessionInfo` data structure for the session to be created. The MSMS responds with “201 Created” with the message body containing the data structure specific to that multicast session and the session ID.

To invite a user to join to an existing session, the MEC application sends a POST request to the `sessionParticipations` resource with parent representing the multicast session. The message body of the request contains `userInfo` data structure including URI of the UE. Paging procedure takes place in the network. The paging functionality is exposed by `Nnef_TrafficInfluence` service of NEF [15]. The MSMS invokes the `Nnef_TrafficInfluence_Create` operation on NEF pointing the UE address and the transaction ID. The user may accept or reject the invitation and the NEF uses `Nnef_TrafficInfluence_Notify` operation to notify the MSMS about the traffic event.

**Fig. 9 shows the message flow for successful joining of UE to multicast session initiated by MEC application.**

An example of HTTP request inviting user to join to multicast session looks like the following:

```
POST /exampleAPI/msms/v1/multicastSessions/multicastSessionABC/sessionParticipants HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/json
Content-type: application/json
```

Similar interaction template occurs when the MEC application decides to invite a user to leave the multicast session. In this case, the application sends a DELETE request to the `sessionParticipationID` resource, representing the corresponding user. The MSMS invokes the `Nnef_TrafficInfluence_Delete` operation on NEF pointing the transaction ID. The MSMS is notified about the result by `Nnef_TrafficInfluence_Notify` operation.

**Table II summarizes the methods supported MSMS request resources.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource name</th>
<th>Resource URI</th>
<th>HTTP method</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All multicast sessions</td>
<td>/multicastSessions</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td>Retrieves list of multicast sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An existing multicast session</td>
<td>/multicastSessions/multicastSessionID</td>
<td>POST</td>
<td>Creates a new session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An existing multicast session</td>
<td>/multicastSessions</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td>Retrieves information about existing session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An existing multicast session</td>
<td>/multicastSessionID</td>
<td>POST</td>
<td>Modifies multicast session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An existing multicast session</td>
<td>/multicastSessionID</td>
<td>DELETE</td>
<td>Deletes multicast session</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The application supports the state of the multimedia session. The network also supports the multicast service bearer context state model which contains all information describing the multicast bearer service. Both states have to be synchronized.

Fig. 10 shows the multicast bearer context state model. In **Standby** state no bearer plane resources are required in the network for multicast data transfer. The network maintains this state as long as there is no a corresponding multicast session ongoing. In **Active** state, bearer plane resources are required for multicast data transfer in the network. The network maintains this state for the duration of a corresponding multicast session. Being in **Active** state, the bearer context may be modified.

The multicast service model supported by MEC application is formally described as $T_{bc} = (S_{bc}, Act^{bc}, \rightarrow_{bc}, s_{0}^{bc})$, where $S_{bc} = \{Standby \ [s_{1}^{bc}], Active \ [s_{2}^{bc}]\}$; $Act^{bc} = \{SessionStart \ [t_{1}^{bc}], SessionStop \ [t_{2}^{bc}], Context-Modification \ [t_{3}^{bc}]\}$; $s_{0}^{bc} = \{s_{1}^{bc}\}$.

The behavior equivalence of both models is formally proved by using the concept of weak bisimulation.

**Proposition:** $T_{bc}$ and $T_{app}$ have a weak bisimulation relationship and expose equivalent behavior.

**Proof:** Strong bisimulation between two state machines requires strong correlation between their states and transitions such as one state machine displays the same result as the other state machine. In weak bisimulation, there may be internal transition that can be skipped, and the results displayed are the same.

Let $R \subseteq (S_{app} \times S_{bc})$ where $R = \{(s_{1}^{app}, s_{1}^{bc}), (s_{2}^{app}, s_{2}^{bc})\}$. Then the following mapping between transitions of $T_{app}$ and $T_{bc}$ can be identified:

1. The MEC application creates a multicast session: for $(s_{1}^{app}, t_{1}^{app}, s_{2}^{app}) \in R$, $(s_{1}^{bc}, t_{1}^{bc}, s_{2}^{bc})$.
2. The MEC application invites a user to join to the session: for $(s_{2}^{app}, t_{2}^{app}, s_{3}^{app}) \in R$, $(s_{2}^{bc}, t_{2}^{bc}, s_{3}^{bc})$.
3. The MEC application invites a user to leave the session: for $(s_{2}^{app}, t_{4}^{app}, s_{5}^{app}) \in R$, $(s_{2}^{bc}, t_{4}^{bc}, s_{5}^{bc})$.
4. The MEC application is notified about user joining the session: for $(s_{2}^{app}, t_{5}^{app}, s_{6}^{app}) \in R$, $(s_{2}^{bc}, t_{5}^{bc}, s_{6}^{bc})$.
5. The MEC application is notified about user leaving the session: for $(s_{2}^{app}, t_{6}^{app}, s_{7}^{app}) \in R$, $(s_{2}^{bc}, t_{6}^{bc}, s_{7}^{bc})$.
6. The MEC application terminates the multicast session: $(s_{2}^{app}, t_{7}^{app}, s_{1}^{app}) \in R$, $(s_{2}^{bc}, t_{7}^{bc}, s_{1}^{bc})$.

Therefore, $T_{bc}$ and $T_{app}$ are weakly bisimilar and expose equivalent behavior.
V. DISCUSSIONS ON SERVICE PERFORMANCE

MEC service metrics are aimed at assessing the improvements introduced by MEC service and evaluating the benefits of service deployment. Service functional metrics are those which impact on user perception e.g. latency, energy efficiency, throughput and other quality of service key performance indicators. Non-functional metrics are related to service lifecycle, service fault tolerance and availability, service computational/processing load and mobile edge host performance parameters.

As far as non-functional metrics are features of the MEC management system, this section focuses mainly on service functional metrics, in particular on latency.

Latency refers to a time interval between an event and a consequent target effect. Studies on latency measurements on user plane for multimedia multicast services are provided in [17], [18]. Control plane latency introduced by the proposed MEC service can be evaluated as round-trip time (RTT), set-up time, service processing time, and context-update time.

The RTT is defined as the time needed for a request generated by MEC application to go to the destination, to be replied and to go back to the MEC application. The multicast service is connection-oriented as a session is set-up between the user and the MEC application, and the initial signaling affects the latency, so the set-up time has to be minimized. The service processing time is time employed by the MEC server to process the network or application requests. Context-update time has to be considered in case of context-aware applications, such as location dependent multimedia multicast [19].

In [20], the authors provide MEC latency assessment data from an experiment where the fibber-wireless access is tested using round trip time (RTT).

The MEC service processing time to invite user to join to a multicast session can be defined as:

\[ \beta_{\text{MEC}} = L \times f = 2.18 \text{ ms}. \quad (1) \]

where the input task size is regarded as information block of size in bits (L), the complexity of the input task is reflected as necessary CPU cycles per bit (X), and the MEC host CPU frequency is marked as (f). Then, as to [21], [22] the parameters used are: 4800 bits for information size (for the example POST request shown above); 1000 cycles per bit for complexity; and CPU frequency at 2.2 GHz.

The set-up time introduced by the MSMS can be estimated theoretically by summing the message transfer time (\( \alpha \)) along the signaling path and the processing time within each node (\( \beta \)). The processing time within each node in 5G Next Generation Radio Access Network (NGRAN) is considered to be approximately 0.3ms while the message transfer takes 0.1ms, as to [23]. As the proposed MEC service can be deployed in the vicinity of end users with distributed core functionality, the same metrics are adopted for message transfer and processing time within the involved core network functional entities. The message transfer time between core functional entities and MEC platform is assumed to be null as the communication is internal for the MEC host. To assess the set-up time between user and MEC multicast multimedia application, we consider the respective signaling procedures between UE and NG-RAN, between NG-RAN and AMF, between core network functions, and the MEC processing time.

In NG-RAN, Radio Resource Control (RRC) reconfiguration procedure takes place to establish radio bearers for the multicast session [24] and the latency introduced is:

\[ L_{\text{UE-NGRAN}} = 2\alpha+2\beta = 0.8 \text{ ms}. \quad (2) \]

The communication between NG-RAN and AMF is based on NGAP (Next Generation Application Protocol [25]. The user joining to existing multicast session includes PDU Session Resource Setup procedure, Paging procedure and Initial context setup procedure. The time taken by PDU Session Resource Setup procedure between NG-RAN and AMF, which is to assign resources for the multicast session, is (2\( \alpha+2\beta \)). The time taken by Initial context setup procedure aimed at establishing UE context at the NG-RAN node is (2\( \alpha+2\beta \)), and the time taken by Paging procedure is (\( \alpha+\beta \)). The time budget for communication between NG-RAN and AMF can be assessed as:

\[ L_{\text{NGRAN-AMF}} = 5\alpha+5\beta = 2 \text{ ms}. \quad (3) \]

The core network signaling for Network triggered service request includes Paging and Service request procedures as described in [15], [26]. In case of collocation of distributed core functional entities, the time for message transfer between them is negligible and may be omitted. The Paging procedure takes 3.3ms (11\( \beta \)). The Service Request procedure includes creation of session management context 0.6ms (2\( \beta \)), the subscription retrieval 0.6ms (2\( \beta \)), the PDU session authentication (13\( \alpha+13\beta \)), the policy establishment and modification 1.2ms (4\( \beta \)), the N1N2 message transfer 0.6ms (2\( \beta \)), the N2 PDU session request and acknowledgement 0.6ms (2\( \beta \)), and the session management context update 0.6ms (2\( \beta \)). So, the latency introduced by Network triggered service request procedure is theoretically estimated as:

\[ L_{\text{CoreNetwork}} = 38\alpha+38\beta = 15.2 \text{ ms}. \quad (4) \]

The overall latency introduced by the proposed MSMS in case of application-initiated invitation to user to join to or leave the multicast session is:

\[ L_{\text{MSMS}} = L_{\text{UE-NGRAN}} + L_{\text{NGRAN-AMF}} + L_{\text{CoreNetwork}} + 2\beta_{\text{MEC}} = 18 \text{ ms} + 2\beta_{\text{MEC}} = 19.86 \text{ ms}. \quad (5) \]

We aim to fit an accurate yet simple theoretical distribution to data in order to be able to reuse it in a compact manner upon cases of MEC-based services simulation/development/tests, or about the same when mobile applications are in question.
It seems that the reasonable number of candidates for data fitting should count on the well-known distributions like Normal, LogNormal, Gamma, and Weibull. This list of distributions is very far from exhaustive, but at least a remark might be made - fat-tail distributions are omitted, because the signaling procedures are not like heavily interlinked web traffic.

In [20] is presented an experiment, aiming estimation of MEC latency, which is based on ping-pong test, while in order to include into account the processing time within MEC, we add a component. Further, trying to find the distributions, we use the well-known distributions like Weibull, LogNormal, Gamma, and Normal, for assessment of MEC initiated secondary node addition latency, and we find fits, in form of probability density functions (PDF) of latency, shown as dotted-solid-black in Fig.12.

![Fig. 12. Probability density functions of round trip time empirical and fitted distributions, where: Emp - the empirical one; Norm - Normal (μ = 19.765; σ = 0.4404213); LogNorm - LogNormal (μ = 2.9836713; σ = 0.02167338); Gamma (α = 2090.3097; β = 105.7583); Weib – Weibull (k = 29.81125; λ = 20.01707)](image)

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

Deployment of multimedia multicast service at the network edge enables third party applications to manage multicast sessions providing location-based multimedia content with guaranteed quality of service. In this paper, we study the MEC capabilities to provide open access to multicast session management functionality which can reduce latency and improve network resource usage. We propose a new MEC service that can be deployed in MEC environment with distributed core functions. The service enables MEC applications to control multicast session establishment, modification and termination, to invite users to join to or to leave the session and to receive notifications about session related events occurred in the network. The provided typical use cases illustrate the main service functionality. The use case descriptions are accompanied by interface and data type definitions, and supported resource structure. The approach feasibility is illustrated by proving the network and application behavior equivalence. Service functional metrics in particular introduced latency are discussed.

The service may be beneficial for mission critical applications with strong low latency requirements as well as for content delivery applications requiring high bandwidth.
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