Timing Synchronization for Fading Channels with Different Characterizations using Near ML Techniques
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Abstract—In this paper, we analyze the performance of a non-data aided near maximum likelihood (NDA-NML) estimator for symbol timing recovery in wireless communications. The performance of the estimator is evaluated for an additive noise only channel. Performance analysis is extended to fading channels characterized by Rayleigh fading, Weibull fading and log-normal fading, appropriate to a variety of transmission scenarios. The probability distribution of the maxima and probability distribution of timing estimates are derived, presented and compared with simulation results. The performance of the estimator is presented in terms of the bit error rate (BER) and the error variance of the estimates. The BER is computed when the estimator is operating under additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel and fading channels. The variance of the estimates is computed for the noise only case and compared with the Cramer Rao bound (CRB) and modified Cramer Rao bound (MCRB).


I. INTRODUCTION

Timing in a receiver must be synchronized to the symbols of the incoming transmitted signal. In the analog implementation of digital modems a free running clock at the symbol rate is phase adjusted in a feedback manner to find the optimum sampling point of the symbol. In feedforward arrangement, timing wave is generated from incoming signal. Mueller and Muller [1] presented a novel technique for symbol timing recovery in the analog era. With the advancement of the digital and microprocessor systems, came digital signal processors and FPGAs, which allows us to implement complicated open loop systems.

In this paper, we present a non-data aided symbol timing estimation technique and evaluate its performance. Symbol timing can be performed with synchronous sampling where exploiting some sort of error signal, the signal sampling clock is adjusted in a feedback manner, and non-synchronous sampling where the sampling is not locked to the incoming signal. Non-synchronous sampling is used in this paper to estimate the symbol timing on a received baseband signal. The optimum symbol strobing point is estimated using the samples with minimum intersymbol interference. Obtained samples from nonsynchronously sampled signal may not include the sample which is at the optimum sampling point and therefore interpolation is used to reduce the error produced by nonsynchronous sampling process. While performing interpolation and upsampling with the available high processor speeds of the latest digital signal processor, no significant limitations are noted. In this paper, interpolations are assumed available for convenience. A good review on interpolation techniques for symbol timing estimation is given by Gardner [2, 3] where some useful interpolation filter functions and the use of them for timing recovery to improve performance of nonsynchronously sampled systems are discussed.

Many feedback and/or feedforward symbol timing estimation techniques for synchronous and/or nonsynchronous sampled systems for either continuous time or burst mode transmissions are available in the literature [4]. Techniques for synchronizing receivers can be divided into data aided and non-data aided. The former is the case where synchronization relies on knowledge of the information symbols. The latter is the case where training sequences are impractical or inconvenient, and the decision process is not sufficiently reliable for decision feedback [5].

The performance of the estimator is evaluated in terms of BER performance and measuring the variance of the estimator and comparing with CRB and MCRB. The BER performance under the assumption of perfect timing estimates is well documented for various modulation formats [6]. In practice timing estimates exhibit small random fluctuations (jitter) about their optimum values that give rise to a BER degradation when compared to perfect synchronization [7], which degrades further when the signal undergoes fading in wireless communications [8]. A joint symbol timing estimation and data detection on flat fading channel based on particle filtering is demonstrated in [9].

We evaluate the performance of the symbol timing estimation techniques for synchronous and/or nonsynchronous sampled systems for either continuous time or burst mode transmissions available in the literature [4]. Techniques for synchronizing receivers can be divided into data aided and non-data aided. The former is the case where synchronization relies on knowledge of the information symbols. The latter is the case where training sequences are impractical or inconvenient, and the decision process is not sufficiently reliable for decision feedback [5].

The performance of the estimator is evaluated in terms of BER performance and measuring the variance of the estimator and comparing with CRB and MCRB. The BER performance under the assumption of perfect timing estimates is well documented for various modulation formats [6]. In practice timing estimates exhibit small random fluctuations (jitter) about their optimum values that give rise to a BER degradation when compared to perfect synchronization [7], which degrades further when the signal undergoes fading in wireless communications [8]. A joint symbol timing estimation and data detection on flat fading channel based on particle filtering is demonstrated in [9].
estimator operating under fading channels with different characterizations, suitable for a variety of applications. We consider the timing estimator similar to that of described in [4] and analyze its performance by finding the statistical distribution of the estimates, the distribution can then be used to characterize the average BER theoretically. The analysis is further extended to fading channels using Monte-Carlo simulations. A tighter CRB and MCRB are also derived to study the performance of the estimator for the noise only case. Although the considered timing estimator is not optimal, we consider it here because of its design simplicity, such that it can be easily used in software defined radios at the baseband.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present the system model. In section III, the timing estimator is presented. Section IV presents the statistical analysis of the timing estimator. Performance of the estimator is presented in section V. The timing estimator operating under fading channels for different characterizations are then presented in section VI before the paper is finally concluded in section VII.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

The system considered here is depicted in Figure 1. In our model we consider both the additive noise only channel as well as the fading channel. A conventional baseband pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) signal can be represented as

\[ s(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m g(t - mT) \]  

(1)

where \( c_m \)'s are the transmitted symbols, \( g(\cdot) \) is the pulse shape used to control the spectral characteristics of the transmitted signal and \( T \) is the signaling interval (\( \frac{T}{2} \) is the symbol rate). The \( c_m \)'s are assumed to be independently and identically distributed, and take on the values \( \pm 1 \) with equal probability. The channel introduces a delay of \( \tau \), and additive white Gaussian noise is considered at the receiver with a double sided power spectral density of \( \frac{N_0}{2} \) W/Hz. Then the signal becomes

\[ y(t) = s(t - \tau) + w(t) \]

(2)

where the noise process \( w(t) \) is stationary and Gaussian with a mean of zero. The frequency response of the pulse shaping filter in the transmitter is \( G(f) \). The received signal is passed through a receiving filter. In general, the optimum filter at the receiver is matched to the received signal pulse \( g(t) \). Hence the frequency response of this filter is \( G^*(f) \). Then the received signal is

\[ z(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m g_o(t - mT - \tau) + n(t) \]  

(3)

distributed with the same statistics as \( w(n) \). Now if \( z(t) \) is sampled at times \( kT_s \), \( k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \ldots \) where \( T_s \) is the sampling interval, we have

\[ z(kT_s) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m g_o(kT_s - mT - \tau) + n(kT_s). \]  

(4)

![Figure 1. Block diagram of signal detection using square root raised cosine filter.](image)

When the symbols are transmitted over fading channel \( h(t) \), the faded discrete signal at the baseband can be written as,

\[ z^f(kT_s) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h(kT_s)c_m g_0(kT_s - mT - \tau) + n(kT_s) \]

(5)

where \( h(kT_s) \) denotes the multiplicative fading process introduced by the fading channel sampled at times \( kT_s, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \ldots \). As is shown in Figure 1, square root raised cosine (SRC) filter is used as the pulse shaping filter and also as the receiving filter, the overall response is therefore similar to a raised cosine filter. The impulse response of SRC filter is given by:

\[ g_{src}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi (1-\alpha) + 4\alpha}{\pi \sqrt{T}} \cos\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)\pi (t + 4\alpha)}{\pi (1-\alpha)}\right) & t = 0 \\ \frac{4\alpha}{\pi \sqrt{2T}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi (t - 2\alpha)}{4\alpha}\right) & t \neq 0, \pm \frac{T}{4\alpha} \end{cases} \]  

(6)

where \( \alpha \) is called the roll-off factor, which takes values in the range \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( T \) is the symbol period. The theoretical bit error probability \( P_b \) for bipolar signaling is

\[ P_b = Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}}\right) \]  

(7)

where \( Q(x) \) is called the complementary error function or co-error function and is defined as

\[ Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right) du. \]  

(8)

III. TIMING ESTIMATOR

Here a near maximum likelihood (NML) timing estimation technique is presented that estimates the symbol timing error. This NML timing estimation technique is similar to the estimator described in [4]. We assume that
the sampled received baseband waveform for the additive noise only channel as given by (4) is:

\[ z(kT_s) = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} c_i g_0(kT_s - iT - \tau) + n(kT_s). \]  

(9)

The noise process \( n(kT_s) \) is a zero mean bandlimited Gaussian process with a variance of \( \sigma^2 \) and \( L \) is the number of symbols used to estimate timing offset. In this case we ignore the channel \( h(kT_s) \) to derive the estimator. The probability density function of \( n(kT_s) \) is given by:

\[ f_n(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(n(kT_s))^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]. \]

(10)

If we let \( \mathbf{R} \) to be a vector of the sample set \( z(kT_s) \) then using (9) and (10)

\[ f_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}|\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{L}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{L-1} [E - F]^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \]

(11)

where

\[ E = z_1(kT_s) \]
\[ F = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} c_i g_0(kT_s - iT - \tau). \]

We use the Maximum Likelihood (ML) principles to derive the symbol timing estimator assuming no a-priori knowledge of the received symbol sequence \( c_i \). Let a matrix of samples be given as

\[
Z = \begin{bmatrix}
Z_1 \\
Z_2 \\
\vdots \\
Z_L
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
z_1(1) & z_1(2) & \cdots & z_1(N) \\
z_2(1) & z_2(2) & \cdots & z_2(N) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
z_L(1) & z_L(2) & \cdots & z_L(N)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(12)

where,

\[ Z_i = \sum_{i=1}^{L} z_i(k') \]

(13)

and,

\[ z_i(k') = \sum_{k'=1}^{N} c_{m} g_0(k'T_s - iT - \tau) + n(k'T_s). \]

(14)

Here, \( k' = \mod(k, N) \), \( L \) is the number of symbols used to estimate the timing offset and \( N \) is the number of samples per symbol. The estimator is then given by:

\[ \hat{\tau} = \arg \max \{ \Gamma_1(k', \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} |z_i(k', \tau)| \}. \]

(15)

The validity of the technique demands the constraint on number of samples to be integer multiple of symbols. When the estimator operates under fading channel, it becomes,

\[ \hat{\tau} = \arg \max \{ \Gamma_2(k', \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} |z_i^f(k', \tau)| \}. \]

(16)

where

\[ z_i^f(k') = \sum_{k'=1}^{N} h(k'T_s) c_{m} g_0(k'T_s - mT - \tau) + n(k'T_s). \]

(17)

IV. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE TIMING ESTIMATOR

The time estimates relate to the maximum energy of the signal. The instant of time at which signal energy is maximum, is taken as the time estimate. Hence, the distribution of the time estimate corresponds to the distribution of the maximum of the signal. We find expressions for the distribution of maxima and the probability mass function of time estimates. The analytical expressions are then compared with the simulated distribution. For both the cases, nice matches are found.

A. Distribution of Maxima

Let \( N = 2N' + 1 \). Considering the signal components \( Z_{N'}, \ldots, Z_0, \ldots, Z_N \) as random variables, the maximum of the signal \( Q \) can be found

\[ Q = \max(Z_{N'}, \ldots, Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_N). \]

(18)

Then the cumulative distribution function of the maxima can be written as

\[ F_Q(q) = P(Q \leq q) = P(Z_{N'} \leq Z_0 \leq Z_1 \leq \ldots \leq Z_N \leq q) = F_{Z_{-N'}, \ldots, Z_{N'}}(q, q, \ldots, q). \]

(19)

If \( Z_{-N'}, \ldots, Z_0, \ldots, Z_N \) are independent

\[ F_Q(q) = F_{Z_{-N'}}(q) \cdots F_{Z_N}(q). \]

(20)

where \( F_{Z_i}(q) \) is the cumulative distribution function of \( Z_i \). To find the probability density function of the maxima \( f_Q(q) \), differentiating (20) with respect to \( q \) gives,

\[ f_Q(q) = F_{Z_{-N'}}(q) \frac{d}{dq} [F_{Z_{-N'+1}}(q) \cdots F_{Z_N}(q)] + [F_{Z_{-N'+1}}(q) \cdots F_{Z_{-N'}}(q)] f_{Z_{-N'}}(q) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=-N'}^{N'} f_{Z_i}(q) \prod_{j=-N', j \neq i}^{N'} F_{Z_j}(q). \]

(21)

where \( f_{Z_i}(q) \) is the probability density function of \( Z_i \). Figure 2 shows the analytical and simulated probability density function of maxima for signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) of 15 dB, roll-off factor of the SRC filter \( \alpha = 0.6 \), number of samples per symbol \( N = 100 \) with a contribution of \( L = 300 \) symbols to the estimator. Figure 2 demonstrates that the simulated probability density function of maxima closely match the analytical probability density function.
B. Probability Distribution of the Timing Estimator

In order to determine the probability of bit error in the receiver, the distribution of the timing estimate errors must be taken into account. When using feedback techniques, the probability density function (pdf) of the timing error may be modelled using a Tichonov pdf [6]. Alternatively if the signal to noise ratio (SNR) is greater than the inverse of twice the variance of the timing offset, a Gaussian pdf may be used [10]. However, in our case the timing estimates relate to the maximum energy of the signal. The instant of time at maximum signal energy is the estimate. Considering this, we find an expression for the probability mass function of timing estimates. The analytical expression is then compared with the simulated distribution. Let $\tau_0; \ldots, \tau_{k^*}; \ldots, \tau_{N'}$ and $\Gamma_1(k', \tau) = \left[ \Gamma_1(-N'), \ldots, \Gamma_1(0), \ldots, \Gamma_1(k'), \ldots, \Gamma_1(N') \right]$ with $q = \max(\Gamma_1(k', \tau))$. Then, when the particular time instance $\tau_k$ is chosen as the timing estimate $\hat{\tau}$, that is $\hat{\tau} = \tau_k$, the probability can be written as

$$P(\hat{\tau} = \tau_k) = P(\tau = \Gamma_1(k', \tau))$$

$$= P(q > \Gamma_1(-N'), \ldots, q = \Gamma_1(k'), q > \Gamma_1(N'))$$

$$= f_{\Gamma_1(k')}(q) \prod_{j=-N', j\neq k'} F_{\Gamma_1(j)}(q) \tag{22}$$

where, $f_{\Gamma_1(k')}(q)$ and $F_{\Gamma_1(j)}(q)$ are the probability density function and cumulative distribution function of $\Gamma_1(k')$ respectively. The expression for the probability mass function (pmf) for the timing estimates is given by,

$$f_{\bar{\tau}}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} P(\hat{\tau} = \tau); & \tau \in [\tau_{-N'}, \ldots, \tau_{k'}; \ldots, \tau_{N'}] \\ 0; & \tau \not\in [\tau_{-N'}, \ldots, \tau_{k'}; \ldots, \tau_{N'}] \end{array} \right\} \tag{23}$$

Figure 3 shows the analytical probability mass function and the simulated probability density function for the timing estimates when SNR is 10 dB, roll-off factor $\alpha = 0.6$, samples per symbol $N = 100$, and $L = 300$ symbols used for timing estimates. It is seen that the analytical results closely match with the simulated pdf.

V. PERFORMANCE OF THE ESTIMATOR

The statistics of the estimates are the key elements in determining the performances of the symbol timing recovery method. If the estimates are biased, the resulting sampling location selected by the symbol timing estimator will be biased from the true optimum and performance will suffer. Similarly, if the estimates have a high variance, the variance of the selected sampling location will also be high. Clearly it is desirable to keep the variance of the estimates as low as possible. The performance bounds for the symbol timing estimator are the CRB and MCRB. We evaluate the performance of the estimator finding bit error probability with matched filter detection and measuring variance of the estimator. Bit error probability is compared to the theoretical bit error probability for AWGN and variance is compared with the lower bounds CRB and MCRB. Figure 4 shows the bit error performance for the NDA-NML estimator in an AWGN channel when roll-off factor $\alpha = 0.6$ in both transmitter and receiver, $N = 20$ samples per symbol, and $L = 100$ symbols used for timing estimates; with the further assumption that there is negligible inter-symbol interference. NDA-NML estimator exhibits good bit error performance compared with theoretical BER of AWGN.

A. Cramer Rao Bound (CRB)

We consider the additive noise only case to derive the CRB here, assuming that the received continuous waveform as given in (3) has complex envelope given by

$$z(t) = s(t) + n(t) \tag{24}$$

which is observed over an interval $T$. $s(t)$ is the information bearing signal and $n(t)$ represents complex-valued
additive white Gaussian noise with two-sided power spectral density. Some of the parameters are unknown, such as the carrier phase \( \theta \), the symbol epoch \( \tau \), the carrier frequency error \( \nu \), etc.

In order to estimate a single element of \( \{\theta, \tau, \nu\} \), denoted by \( \lambda \), which is assumed to be deterministic (non-random), all the other parameters, including the data, are collected in a random vector \( \mathbf{u} \) having a known probability density function \( p(\mathbf{u}) \) which does not depend on \( \lambda \). If \( \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) \) is any unbiased estimator of \( \lambda \), a lower bound to the variance of the error \( \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) - \lambda \) is given by the Cramer-Rao formula [11]

\[
CRB(\lambda) = \frac{1}{E_z\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2\right]}
\]  

(25)

where \( E_z \) denotes statistical expectation with respect to the subscripted variable, and \( p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda) \) is the probability density function of \( \mathbf{z} \) for a given \( \lambda \).

To compute \( CRB(\lambda) \) we need \( p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda) \) which, in principle, can be obtained from the integral

\[
p(\mathbf{z}|\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda)p(\mathbf{u})d\mathbf{u}
\]  

(26)

with respect to time \( t \) evaluated at \( t = 0 \). For a raised cosine channel when the signal energy normalized to unity, \( g_0''(0) \) is given by [13]

\[
g_0''(0) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{3} + \alpha^2(\pi^2 - 8)
\]  

(28)

where \( \alpha \) is the roll-off factor of the raised cosine filter.

### B. Modified Cramer Rao Bound (MCRB)

The MCRB by [14] to the variance of \( \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) - \lambda \) is the following

\[
MCRB(\lambda) = \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{u}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2\right]}
\]  

(29)

This bound is found by [14] observing that

\[
E_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{u}}\left[\left(\hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) - \lambda\right)^2\right] \geq E_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{u}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2\right]
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{u}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2\right]}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{u}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2\right]}
\]  

(30)

where the first inequality derives from application of the CRB to the estimator \( \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}) \) for a fixed \( \mathbf{u} \), while the second is true in view of Jensen’s inequality and the convexity of the function \( \frac{1}{2}x^2 \) for \( x > 0 \).

In spite of having same structure of CRB and MCRB, MCRB is much easier to use. For Gaussian Channel, the probability density for (29) is a well-known exponential function whose argument is a quadratic form in the difference between \( \mathbf{z} \) and the signal \( \mathbf{s} \). Thus the logarithm of \( p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u},\lambda) \) equals this quadratic form and the expectation in (29) is readily derived.

The MCRB of symbol epoch (\( \tau \)) by [5] is given by

\[
MCRB(\tau) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 L \xi} \frac{T^2}{E_s/N_0}
\]  

(31)

where \( L \) is the number of symbol intervals on which receiver bases its timing estimate on the observation on the received signal, \( T \) is the symbol interval, \( E_s \) is the energy of one symbol waveform and \( \xi \) is an adimensional coefficient depending on the shape of \( g_0(t) \):

\[
\xi = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T^2 |G(f)|^2 df}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(f)|^2 df}
\]  

(32)

MCRB for a normalized \( \tau \) is given by [15] where \( \tau \) is between 0 and 1. The MRCB is

\[
MCRB(\tau) \geq \frac{1}{2\Gamma(E_s/N_0)}
\]  

(33)

where

\[
\Gamma = \frac{T^2}{E_s} \int_0^T g_0^2(t)dt
\]  

(34)
where \( g_0(t) \) denote the time derivative of \( g_0(t) \), \( E_s \) is the symbol energy. For a raised cosine pulse \( \Gamma = \frac{4}{T} \).

Figure 5 shows variance of the NDA-NML estimator with respect to CRB and MCRB when roll-off factor \( \alpha = 0.6 \) and \( N = 40 \) samples per symbol. It is demonstrated in [14] that MCRB is generally lower than (at most equal) to the true CRB. The simulation result exhibits that the CRB is always greater than MCRB and the variance of the estimator is greater than CRB and MCRB as well. The variance of the estimator decreases as the number of symbols contributed for the timing estimation increases. With the increasing number of symbols used for estimation, the performance of the estimator improves in terms of variance. If the number of symbols contributed for the timing estimation increases, then the performance of the estimator will be degraded. It is easy to implement the NDA-NML estimator and the complexity is low as well.

![NDA-NML estimator error variance performance](image)

**Figure 5.** NDA-NML estimator error variance performance.

### VI. Timing Estimator Operating Under Fading Channels

One of the many impairments inherently present in any wireless communication system, that must be recognized and mostly mitigated for a system to function well, is fading. In this paper, we consider Rayleigh fading, Weibull fading and log-normal fading channels and assess the performance of the timing estimator computing BER under each fading condition assuming flat fading. Last of all, the three fading scenarios are compared.

#### A. Timing Estimator Operating Under Rayleigh Fading Conditions

The statistical characteristics of a fading channel can be modelled using various probability density functions. In mobile radio channels, the Rayleigh distribution is commonly used to describe the statistical time varying nature of the received envelope of a flat fading signal, or the envelope of an individual multipath component. It is well known that the envelope of the sum of two quadrature Gaussian noise signals obeys a Rayleigh distribution [16–18]. The Rayleigh distribution has a probability density function given by

\[
 f(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{\sigma_h^2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_h^2}\right), & 0 \leq r < \infty \\ 0, & r < 0 \end{cases}
\]

where \( \sigma_h \) is the root mean square (rms) value of the received signal before envelope detection. In our system model the sampled received signal experiencing Rayleigh fading can be expressed by (5) where the fading process \( h(kT_s) \) is Rayleigh distributed. For fading amplitude we use \( h \) in place of \( h(kT_s) \) which can be expressed as:

\[
 h = \left(\sqrt{h_1^2 + h_Q^2}\right)
\]

where \( h_1 \) and \( h_Q \) are uncorrelated and independent of each other and follow correlated Gaussian distribution. The correlations among the samples are achieved passing the Gaussian distributed samples through a spectral shaping filter. In our case we use a digital one dimensional filter to correlate the samples. The coefficients of the filter are given by:

\[
 A = \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2}{F_s}\right)\right], \text{ and } B = \gamma
\]

where \( \gamma \) defines the amount of correlation in \( h(kT_s) \) and \( F_s \) is sampling frequency. The filter is a “Direct form II Transposed” implementation of the standard difference equation:

\[
 (1) y(n) = B(1) x(n) - A(2) y(n-1)
\]

where \( X \) is the input to the filter and \( Y \) is the filtered output. The channel energy is maintained to be unity so that the received SNR doesn’t change.

Figure 6 shows the bit error performance for timing estimator operating under a Rayleigh fading channel when roll-off factor \( \alpha = 0.6 \), \( N = 15 \) samples per symbol, and \( L = 100 \) symbols used for timing estimates. The amount of correlation has a significant effect on BER performance. Figure 6 exhibits that the BER performance for the timing estimator improves as the \( \gamma \) increases under fading conditions.

#### B. Timing Estimator Operating Under Weibull Fading Conditions

The Weibull distribution is useful for modeling multipath fading signal amplitude. Weibull fading channel model exhibits an excellent fit both for indoor [19–21] and outdoor environments [22]. It provides flexibility in describing the fading severity of the channel and subsumes special cases such as the well-known Rayleigh fading. The appropriateness of the Weibull distribution to model fading channels was also reported in [23], where a path-loss model for the Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications system at 1.89 GHz, was studied. A physical justification for modeling wireless fading channels with Weibull fading distribution has been given...
in [24]. Based on fading channel data obtained from a recent measurement program at 900 MHz, Tzeremes and Christodoulou also reported that the Weibull distribution can be used to model outdoor multipath fading well in some cases [25]. In the past few years, a renewed interest has been expressed in studying the characteristics of Weibull fading channel and performances of different wireless techniques operating on such channel. This is evident from the numerous publications covering different aspects of this fading model [26–29].

The probability density function of the Weibull distribution is

\[ f_X(x) = b a x^{a-1} e^{-(x/b)^a} \quad \text{(39)} \]

where \( b > 0 \) is the shape parameter which defines the severity of fading and \( a > 0 \) is the scale parameter of the distribution. Smaller value of shape parameter indicates more severity of fading. In the special case when \( b = 1 \), the Weibull distribution becomes an exponential distribution; when \( b = 2 \), the Weibull distribution specializes to a Rayleigh distribution.

For simulation purpose, for generating Weibull distributed random variates, at first a random variate \( U \) drawn from the uniform distribution in the interval \((0, 1)\) is generated. Then the random variate \( W \) is generated which has a Weibull distribution with parameters \( b \) and \( a \). \( W \) is used as the fading amplitude for Weibull fading. While generating \( U \), zero values are excluded to avoid natural log of zero. Sampled received signal experiencing Weibull fading can be expressed by (5) where the fading process \( h(kT_s) \) is the Weibull distributed fading amplitude \( h_W \). BER when the timing estimator operates under Weibull fading channel is demonstrated in Figure 7 for various Weibull shape parameters for roll-off factor \( \alpha = 0.6 \), \( N = 15 \) samples per symbol and \( L = 100 \) symbols used for the timing estimates. With increasing value of shape parameter, the BER performance improves.

C. Timing Estimator Operating Under Log-normal Fading Conditions

The log-normal distribution has traditionally been used to describe the noticeable change in mean signal level (slow fading) experienced by a mobile receiver moving over long distances within a multipath environment. Rapid signal fluctuations about the local mean may also be observed over much shorter distances, typically in the order of tens of wavelengths [30]. Log-normal small-scale fading has been reported for some radio channels, particularly in indoor environments [31–33]. Recently, extensive effort has been directed at characterization of the propagation channels that involve the human body. Research into on-body channels [32], where communications is across the surface of human body and off-body [33], where a body-worn radio device is communicating with remote terminal, have both reported small-scale fading which has followed the log-normal distribution. A statistical characterization of the narrowband dynamic human on-body area channel was presented in [34] demonstrating that log-normal distribution provides a good fitting model, particularly when the subject’s body is moving.

The log-normal probability density function \( p(r) \) for an envelope \( R \) may be expressed as

\[ p_R(r) = \frac{1}{r \sigma_L \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{[\log(r) - \mu_L]^2}{2\sigma_L^2} \right) \quad \text{(40)} \]

for \( r > 0 \), where \( \mu_L \) and \( \sigma_L \) are the log-mean and log-standard deviation and both of which are found from \( \log[p(r)] \).

For our simulation purpose, at first a random variate \( S \) is generated which is drawn from the normal distribution with 0 mean and 1 standard deviation and then the variable \( h_L = \exp(\mu + \sigma S) \) is generated which has a log-normal distribution with parameters log-mean \( \mu_L \) and log-standard deviation \( \sigma_L \). Sampled received signal experiencing log-normal fading can be expressed by (5) where the fading process \( h(kT_s) \) is the log-normal distributed fading.
amplitude $h_l$. Figure 8 exhibits the BER performance for the timing estimator operating under log-normal fading when roll-off factor $\alpha = 0.6$, $N = 15$ samples per symbol, and $L = 100$ symbols used for timing estimates. This performance is for the on-body wireless transmission scenarios where there is log-normal fading. Performance decreases significantly with the increasing of standard deviation. Small change of mean of the log-normal distribution does not have significant effect on the performance.

![Figure 8. Bit error performance of NDA-NML estimator under log-normal fading.](image_url)

Figure 9 shows the BER performance for three kinds of fading stated before. In every case, roll-off factor $\alpha = 0.6$, $N = 25$ samples per symbol, and $L = 300$ symbols for timing estimation are considered. In Figure 9, standard Rayleigh fading with fading amplitudes that are based on complex fading coefficients with mean 0 and variance 1 is considered. For the Weibull fading channel, the scale parameter $\alpha = 1$ and shape parameter $b = 1.5$ are used. For the log-normal fading channel, log-mean $\mu_L = 0$ and log-standard deviation $\sigma_L = 0.75$ are used. The parameters for each of three different types of fading are appropriate to typical small-scale fading scenarios. From the Figure 9, it is demonstrated that BER performance for the Rayleigh fading channel is better than that of the Weibull fading and the log-normal fading channel and the performance of the Weibull fading channel is better than that of the log-normal fading channel. The performance will vary depending on the value of fading parameters.

The estimator performs better for Rayleigh fading than Weibull fading, because of the more fading severity of the Weibull fading. For the Weibull fading, 1.5 is used for shape parameter which is compared with a shape parameter of 2 corresponding to Rayleigh fading, which indicates more severity of the Weibull fading scenario. The estimator performs better for Rayleigh fading than that of log-normal fading because of the higher variance used in Figure 9 of the log-normal fading. The estimator is shown to be robust to a change of fading conditions in comparison of the Rayleigh fading, Weibull fading and log-normal fading, in Figure 9. It is clear in Figure 9 that the estimator performs similarly considering these fading conditions. Characterizations of these three types of fading are reasonably different with respect to the application of the NDA-NML estimator.

![Figure 9. Bit error performance of NDA-NML estimator under various fading.](image_url)

**VII. CONCLUSION**

Statistical performance of a NDA-NML timing estimator was presented. Several interesting aspects of the timing estimator were revealed examining the statistical distribution of the estimator. The expression for maxima and expression for the probability mass function of the timing estimates were derived and compared with the simulated density function. The performance of the estimator was assessed considering the BER, and the error variance was compared to the CRB and MCRB for AWGN channel. Finally, performance of the estimator was studied when operating under different fading scenarios with different characterizations by calculating the BER and the estimator was shown to be robust to change of fading conditions.
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